8

9

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

JOHANNE ALARCON,) Case No.: 2:18-cv-00395-JTM-JEM
Plaintiff,)
v. CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), N.A., Defendant.) PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED) COMPLAINT) (Telephone Consumer Protection Act))

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

JOHANNE ALARCON (Plaintiff), by and through her attorneys, alleges the following against CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), N.A., (Defendant):

1. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself individually seeking damages and any other available legal or equitable remedies resulting from the illegal actions of Defendant, in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (hereinafter "TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seg.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Defendant conducts business in the state of Indiana, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 3. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3). See, Mims v. Arrow Financial Services, LLC, 132 S.Ct. 740 (2012), holding that federal and state courts have concurrent jurisdiction over private suits arising under the TCPA.
- 4. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1391(b) because Plaintiff resides within this District and a

substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the herein claims occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated within this District.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Porter County, in the city of Chesterton, Indiana.
- 6. Defendant is a corporation doing business in the State of Indiana with its principal place of business located in McLean, Virginia.
- 7. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant has acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heir, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Defendant is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- 9. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and attempting to collect on alleged debts incurred through purchases made on credit issued by Defendant.
- 10. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone at phone number (219) 916-04XX.
- 11. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff from phone numbers including, but not limited to (800) 365-2024.
- 12. Per its prior business practices, Defendant's calls were placed with an automated dialing system ("auto-dialer").
- 13. Defendant used an "automatic telephone dialing system", as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1) to place its telephone calls to Plaintiff seeking to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, JOHANNE ALARCON.

- 14. Defendant's calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 15. Defendant's calls were placed to a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 16. Defendant never received Plaintiff' "prior express consent" to receive calls using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on her cellular telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 17. On or about March 6, 2018, at 11:22 a.m. Pacific Standard time, the Plaintiff spoke with Defendant's male representative at (800) 265-2024 and requested that Defendant cease calling Plaintiff's cellular phone.
- 18. During the conversation, Plaintiff gave Defendant her name, social security number, and date of birth to assist Defendant in accessing her account before asking Defendant to stop calling her cell phone regarding all of her accounts.
- 19. Plaintiff revoked any consent, explicit, implied, or otherwise, to call her cellular telephone and/or to receive Defendant's calls using an automatic telephone dialing system in her conversation with Defendant's representative on March 6, 2018.
- 20. Despite Plaintiff's request to cease, Defendant continued to place collection calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone through July 1, 2018.
- 21. Despite Plaintiff's request that Defendant cease placing automated collection calls, Defendant placed at least One Hundred and Ninety-One (191) automated calls to Plaintiff's cell phone after March 6, 2018.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-21.
- 23. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 24. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B).
- 25. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 et. seq.

- 26. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-21.
- 27. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 28. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- 29. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JOHANNE ALARCON, respectfully requests judgment b
2	entered against Defendant, CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), N.A., for the following:
3	
4	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
5	30. For statutory damages of \$500.00 multiplied by the number of TCPA violations alleged
6	herein (191), \$95,500.00;
7	30. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;
8	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
9	
10	32. For statutory damages of \$1,500.00 multiplied by the number of TCPA violations alleged
11	herein (191) \$286,500.00;
12	33. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;
13	ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION
14	34. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees;
15	35. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.
16	JURY TRIAL DEMAND
17	Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.
18	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
19	Dated: January 14, 2018
20	
21	By: <u>/s/ Andrew Homan</u> By: <u>/s/ James A. Sellers II</u> Andrew Homan James A. Sellers II
22	Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Plaintiff
23	244 N. College Ave. 4740 Green River Rd., Suite 310 Indianapolis, IN 46202 Corona, CA 92880
24	T: 317-941-6996 T: 657-363-4699 E: Andrew@homanlegal.com E: jamess@jlohman.com
25	